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#### Abstract

We prove that the mixed André-Oort conjecture holds for any mixed Shimura variety if a lower bound for the size of Galois orbits of special points in pure Shimura varieties is true. This generalizes the current results for mixed Shimura varieties of abelian type.


#### Abstract

Résumé. Nous démontrons que la conjecture d'André-Oort pour toutes les variétés de Shimura mixtes, sous une borne inférieure pour la taille des orbites galoisiennes des points spéciaux. Ceci généralise les résultats connus pour les variétés de Shimura mixtes de type abélien.


## 1. Introduction

The goal of this note is to clarify the situation of the study to the mixed André-Oort conjecture following the Pila-Zannier method developped in [11] (see also [17] for a more detailed survey). The main result is to remove the assumption "of abelian type" in the known results.
Conjecture 1.1 (Mixed André-Oort). Let $S$ be a mixed Shimura variety and let $\Sigma$ be a set of special points in $S$. Then every irreducible component of the Zariski closure of $\Sigma$ in $S$ is a special subvariety.

Before proceeding, we introduce some notations: let $(P, \mathcal{X})$ be a mixed Shimura datum and let $K$ be a compact open subgroup of $P\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$. Then the mixed Shimura variety $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$ is defined as

$$
M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X}):=P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{X} \times P\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K
$$

A special subvariety of $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$ is then defined to be an irreducible component of $\overline{\mathcal{Y} \times\{p\}}$ (the image of $\mathcal{Y} \times\{p\}$ in $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$ ), where $(Q, \mathcal{Y})$ is a mixed Shimura subdatum of $(P, \mathcal{X})$.

There is a number field $E(P, \mathcal{X})$ canonically associated to $(P, \mathcal{X})$, which is called the reflex field of $(P, \mathcal{X})$. Let $\pi:(P, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ be the natural projection to the pure part of $(P, \mathcal{X})$. Then $E(P, \mathcal{X})=E\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$. Let $K_{G}=\pi(K)$, then $K_{G}$ is an open compact subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$. Denote by

$$
\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right):=G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{X}_{G} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K_{G}
$$

and $[\pi]: M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$. The varieties $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X}), \operatorname{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ and the map $[\pi]$ are all defined over $E(P, \mathcal{X})=E\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_{G}$ be any pre-special point, we shall denote by
(1) $T:=\mathrm{MT}(x)$ which is a torus;
(2) $K_{T}:=K_{G} \cap T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$;
(3) $K_{T}^{m}$ the maximal compact subgroup of $T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ containing $K_{T}$;

[^0](4) $i(T):=\left|\left\{p \mid K_{T, p} \neq K_{T, p}^{m}\right\}\right|$;
(5) $L_{x}$ the splitting field of $T$;
(6) $\mathrm{Cl}(T):=T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / T(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

The main result is the following:
Theorem 1.2. Let $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$ be a mixed Shimura variety associated with the mixed Shimura datum ( $P, \mathcal{X}$ ).

Assume that there exist positive constants $c_{5}, c_{6}, c_{7}$ and $c_{8}$ (depending only on $\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ and $K_{G}$, the numbering in conformity with [2, Theorem 1.2]) such that for each pre-special point $x \in \mathcal{X}_{G}$, its image $[x, 1]$ in the Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ satisfies (Conjecture 1.3 below or)

$$
\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / E\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)\right) \cdot[x, 1]\right| \geqslant c_{5} c_{6}^{i(T)}\left[K_{T}^{m}: K_{T}\right]^{c_{7}}\left|\operatorname{disc} L_{x}\right|^{c_{8}}
$$

Then the mixed André-Oort conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) holds for $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$.
In order to prove the conjectural lower bound in Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove the following conjecture, which is purely about class groups of tori:

Conjecture 1.3. Let $\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ be a pure Shimura variety and let $x \in \mathcal{X}_{G}$ be a pre-special point. Then there exist positive constants $c$ and $\delta$ depending only on $\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\operatorname{im}\left(\widetilde{r_{T}}: \operatorname{Cl}\left(L_{x}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(T)\right)\right| \geqslant c D_{T}^{\delta}
$$

where $\widetilde{r_{T}}$ is induced by the reciprocity morphism $r_{T}: \operatorname{Res}_{L_{x} / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m, L_{x}} \rightarrow T$ and $D_{T}$ is the quasidiscriminant of $T$ (see [13, Section 7.1 and 3.5] or [16, Section 4.2 and 2.1.3] for definitions).

The fact that Conjecture 1.3 implies the conjectural lower bound in Theorem 1.2 is proved by Ullmo-Yafaev [16, Proposition 5.1] (also by Tsimerman [13, Theorem 7.1, Lemma 7.2] for the case $\mathcal{A}_{g}$ ). Also note that it suffices to prove Conjecture 1.3 for $\mathcal{A}_{g}$ and for pure Shimura varieties of adjoint type by the proof of Theorem 1.2 in $\S 2$. The conjectural lower bound is then proved unconditionally for $\mathcal{A}_{g}(g \leqslant 6)$ by Tsimerman [13] and under GRH for all pure Shimura varieties by Ullmo-Yafaev [16]. So we get
Theorem 1.4. The mixed André-Oort conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) holds under GRH for all mixed Shimura varieties, and it holds unconditionally for any mixed Shimura variety whose pure part is a subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{6}^{N}$ for any positive integer $N$.

The proof is a combination of previous work of Pila-Tsimerman [9, 10], Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev [6], Ullmo [14], Gao [5] and the recent result of Daw-Orr [2]. The difference between Theorem 1.2 (and Theorem 1.4) and the previous result of Gao [5, Theorem 13.6] is that we can remove the "of Abelian type" assumption thanks to the recent work of Daw-Orr [2]. ${ }^{1}$
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## 2. Proof of the main result

Let us prove Theorem 1.2. We use the notation of Conjecture 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.
Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent if we replace $K$ by a neat subgroup. So we may assume that $K$ is neat. Let $Y$ be an irreducible component of $\bar{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{Zar}}$. Let $\mathcal{X}^{+}$be a connected component of $\mathcal{X}$. Remark that

$$
M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})=\coprod_{p \in P(\mathbb{Q})+\backslash P\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K} \Gamma_{p} \backslash \mathcal{X}^{+},
$$

where $\Gamma_{p}=P(\mathbb{Q})_{+} \cap p K p^{-1}$, and $\Gamma_{p} \backslash \mathcal{X}^{+}=\overline{\mathcal{X}^{+} \times\{p\}}$ in $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$. Since $Y$ is irreducible, there exists a $p \in P\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ such that $Y \subset \overline{\mathcal{X}^{+} \times\{p\}}$. The goal is to prove that there exists a mixed Shimura subdatum $(Q, \mathcal{Y})$ of $(P, \mathcal{X})$ such that $Y=\overline{\mathcal{Y}^{+} \times\{p\}}$, where $\mathcal{Y}^{+}$is a connected component of $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence we may and do assume that $p=1$.

Denote for simplicity $\Gamma_{1}$ by $\Gamma$ and $S=\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{X}^{+}$. Denote by unif: $\mathcal{X}^{+} \rightarrow S$. Then $S$ is a connected mixed Shimura variety associated with the connected mixed Shimura datum $\left(P, \mathcal{X}^{+}\right)$.

Replacing $\left(P, \mathcal{X}^{+}\right)$be the smallest connected mixed Shimura subdatum $\left(Q, \mathcal{Y}^{+}\right)$such that $\operatorname{unif}\left(\mathcal{Y}^{+}\right)$contains $Y$ and replacing $S$ by $S_{Q}:=\operatorname{unif}\left(\mathcal{Y}^{+}\right)$does not affect the correctness of Conjecture 1.1, so we do these replacements. Now by [5, Theorem 12.2], there exists a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft P$ such that for the diagram


- the union of positive-dimensional weakly special subvarieties of $S^{\prime}$ which are contained in $Y^{\prime}:=\overline{[\rho] Y}$ is NOT Zariski dense in $Y^{\prime}$;
- $Y=[\rho]^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$.

The second bullet point tells us that $Y$ is special iff $Y^{\prime}$ is special. By replacing $(S, Y)$ by $\left(S^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume that the union of positive dimensional weakly special subvarieties of $S$ which are contained in $Y$ is not Zariski dense in $Y$. So it suffices to prove the following claim:

Claim 1. The set of special points in $Y$ which do not lie in any positive dimensional special subvariety is finite (recall that we are under the assumption that the union of positive dimensional weakly special subvarieties of $S$ which are contained in $Y$ is not Zariski dense in $Y$ ).

Now let us do some reductions:
(1) Replace $P$ by $\operatorname{MT}\left(\mathcal{X}^{+}\right)$;
(2) By the Reduction Lemma [12, 2.26] and replacing $\Gamma$ by a neat subgroup if necessary, we may furthermore assume that

$$
\left(P, \mathcal{X}^{+}\right)=\left(G_{0}, \mathcal{D}^{+}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(P_{2 g}, \mathcal{X}_{2 g}^{+}\right)
$$

where $\left(G_{0}, \mathcal{D}^{+}\right)$is a pure Shimura datum, and that

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left\{\gamma \in P_{2 g}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv(0,1) \bmod N\right\}
$$

for some $N>3$ even.
(3) Replace $\left(G_{0}, \mathcal{D}^{+}\right)$by its adjoint $\left(G_{0}^{\text {ad }}, \mathcal{D}^{+}\right)$;
(4) Finally replace $\left(P, \mathcal{X}^{+}\right)$by the smallest $\left(Q, \mathcal{Y}^{+}\right)$such that $Y \subset \operatorname{unif}\left(\mathcal{Y}^{+}\right)$.

Remark that $Y$ is defined over a number field, which we call $k$, because it contains a Zariski dense subset of special points. Then $E\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)=E(P, \mathcal{X}) \subset k$.

Now $\mathcal{X}^{+} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{+} \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{X}_{2 g}^{+}$. So by [5, Proposition 4.3], we can identify $\mathcal{X}^{+}$as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{r} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 g r} \times\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} \times \mathbb{H}_{g}^{+r}\right)$. Then any pre-special point is contained in

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{r} \times \mathbb{Q}^{2 g r} \times\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} \times\left(\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+} \cap M_{2 g}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\right)^{r}\right) .
$$

Now let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fundamental set in $\mathcal{X}^{+}$for the action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{X}^{+}$as in [5, Section 10.1].
For any special point $s \in S$, take a representative $\widetilde{s} \in \mathcal{X}^{+}$in $\mathcal{F}$. Write

$$
\widetilde{s}=\left(\widetilde{s}_{U}, \widetilde{s}_{V}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)
$$

as the coordinates for $\mathbb{Q}^{r} \times \mathbb{Q}^{2 g r} \times\left(\mathcal{D}^{+} \times\left(\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+} \cap M_{2 g}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\right)^{r}\right)$. Then by choice of $\mathcal{F}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\widetilde{s}_{U}\right), H\left(\widetilde{s}_{V}\right) \ll N(s), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(s)$ is the smallest positive integer $q$ such that $q \widetilde{s}_{U} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ and $q \widetilde{s}_{V} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 g r}$. Write for simplicity $x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \in \mathcal{X}_{G}^{+} \subset \mathcal{D}^{+} \times\left(\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+} \cap M_{2 g}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})\right)^{r}$. Use the notations of the Introduction (above Theorem 1.2), we have that any $T_{i}:=\mathrm{MT}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is a quotient of $T$ (for all $i=0,1, \ldots, r)$. So in particular,

- $\left[K_{T_{i}}^{m}: K_{T_{i}}\right] \leqslant\left[K_{T}^{m}: K_{T}\right]$;
- $i\left(T_{i}\right) \leqslant i(T)$;
- $L_{x_{i}} \subset L_{x}$.

Now by Daw-Orr [2, Theorem 1.4] (for $i=0$ ) and Pila-Tsimerman [9, Theorem 3.1] (together with Tsimerman [13, Lemma 7.2] for $i=1, \ldots, r$ ), for any positive real number $c_{2}$, there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ (depending only on $\operatorname{Sh}_{K_{G}}\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)$ and $c_{2}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\max \left(H\left(x_{0}\right), H\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, H\left(x_{r}\right)\right) \leqslant c_{1} c_{2}^{i(T)}\left[K_{T}^{m}: K_{T}\right]^{c_{3}}\left|\operatorname{disc} L_{x}\right|^{c_{4}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand for the special point $s \in S$ and for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $c(\varepsilon)>0$ such that (recall that $\left.E\left(G, \mathcal{X}_{G}\right)=E(P, \mathcal{X}) \subset k\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / k) s| & \geqslant c(\varepsilon) N(s)^{1-\varepsilon}|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / k) \cdot[\pi] s| & & \text { by Gao [5, Theorem 13.3] } \\
& =c(\varepsilon) N(s)^{1-\varepsilon}|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / k) \cdot[x, 1]| & &  \tag{2.3}\\
& \geqslant c(\varepsilon) c_{5} N(s)^{1-\varepsilon} c_{6}^{i(T)}\left[K_{T}^{m}: K_{T}\right]^{c_{7}}\left|\operatorname{disc} L_{x}\right|^{c_{8}} & & \text { by assumption. }
\end{align*}
$$

Now (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) assert that there exists positive constants $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ depending only on $M_{K}(P, \mathcal{X})$ such that (remark that we can take in (2.2) $c_{2}=c_{6}$ )

$$
|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / k) s| \geqslant \delta_{0} H(\widetilde{s})^{\delta_{1}} .
$$

Hence for $H(\widetilde{s}) \gg 0$, Pila-Wilkie $[8,3.2]$ implies that there exists $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / k)$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma(s)}$ is contained in a positive dimensional connected semi-algebraic subset $\widetilde{Z}$ of $\mathcal{X}^{+}$contained
in unif ${ }^{-1}(Y) \cap \mathcal{F}$. Let $Z^{\prime}$ be an irreducible component of $\overline{\text { unif }(\widetilde{Z})}^{\text {Zar }}$ containing $\sigma(s)$. Now the mixed Ax-Lindemann theorem (here we use the form [4, Theorem 3.7]) claims that $Z^{\prime}$ is weakly special, which is then special since it contains a special point $\sigma(s)$. But $\operatorname{dim} Z^{\prime}>0$ since $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{Z}>0$. Hence $\sigma^{-1}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ is special of positive dimension. To sum it up, for any special point $s \in Y$ with $H(\widetilde{s}) \gg 0, s$ is contained in a positive dimensional special subvariety. Therefore the heights of the points in
$\left\{\widetilde{s} \in \operatorname{unif}^{-1}(Y) \cap \mathcal{F}\right.$ special and unif $(\widetilde{s})$ not contained in any positive dimensional special subvariety $\}$ is uniformly bounded, and hence this set is finite by Northcott's theorem. Therefore we have proved Claim 1, and so Theorem 1.2.
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