

2-Blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups III

Benjamin Sambale*

June 6, 2015

Abstract

We prove that two 2-blocks of (possibly different) finite groups with a common minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system are isotypic (and therefore perfectly isometric) in the sense of Broué. This continues former work by [Cabanes-Picaronny, 1992], [Sambale, 2011] and [Eaton-Külshammer-Sambale, 2012].

Keywords: minimal nonabelian defect groups, perfect isometries, isotypies

AMS classification: 20C15, 20C20

1 Introduction

Since its appearance in 1990, Broué’s Abelian Defect Conjecture gained much attention among representation theorists. On the level of characters it predicts the existence of a perfect isometry between a block with abelian defect group and its Brauer correspondent. These blocks have a common defect group and the same fusion system. Although Broué’s Conjecture is false for nonabelian defect groups (see [4]), one can still ask if perfect isometries or even isotypies exist. We affirmatively answer this question for $p = 2$ and minimal nonabelian defect groups (see Theorem 9 below). These are the nonabelian defect groups such that any proper subgroup is abelian. Doing so, we verify the character-theoretic version of Rouquier’s Conjecture [17, A.2] in this special case (see Corollary 10 below). At the same time we provide a new infinite family of defect groups supporting a blockwise Z^* -Theorem.

By Rédei’s classification of minimal nonabelian p -groups, one has to consider three distinct families of defect groups. For two of these families the result already appeared in the literature (see [3, 19, 5]). Hence, it suffices to handle the remaining family which we will do in the next section. The proof of the main result is an application of Horimoto-Watanabe [10, Theorem 2]. The last section of the present paper also contains a related result for the nonabelian defect group of order 27 and exponent 9.

Our notation is fairly standard. We consider blocks B of finite groups with respect to a p -modular system (K, \mathcal{O}, F) where \mathcal{O} is a complete discrete valuation ring with quotient field K of characteristic 0 and field of fractions F of characteristic p . As usual, we assume that K is “large” enough and F is algebraically closed. The number of irreducible ordinary characters (resp. Brauer characters) of B is denoted by $k(B)$ (resp. $l(B)$). Moreover, $k_i(B)$ is the number of those irreducible characters of B which have height $i \geq 0$. For other results on block invariants and fusion systems we often refer to [20]. Moreover, for the definition and construction of perfect isometries we follow [1, 3]. A cyclic group of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by C_n .

*Institut für Mathematik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, 07743 Jena, Germany, benjamin.sambale@uni-jena.de

2 A class of minimal nonabelian defect groups

Let B be a non-nilpotent 2-block of a finite group G with defect group

$$D = \langle x, y \mid x^{2^r} = y^2 = [x, y]^2 = [x, x, y] = [y, x, y] = 1 \rangle \cong C_2^2 \rtimes C_{2^r} \quad (1)$$

where $r \geq 2$, $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ and $[x, x, y] := [x, [x, y]]$.

We have already investigated some properties of B in [19], and later gave simplified proofs in [20, Chapter 12]. For the convenience of the reader we restate some of these results.

Lemma 1 ([20, Lemma 12.3]). *Let $z := [x, y]$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) $\Phi(D) = Z(D) = \langle x^2, z \rangle \cong C_{2^{r-1}} \times C_2$.
- (ii) $D' = \langle z \rangle \cong C_2$.
- (iii) $|\text{Irr}(D)| = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$.

Recall that a (saturated) fusion system \mathcal{F} on a p -group P determines the following subgroups:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathcal{F}) &:= \{x \in P : x \text{ is fixed by every morphism in } \mathcal{F}\}, \\ \text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) &:= \langle f(x)x^{-1} : x \in Q \leq P, f \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \rangle, \\ \text{hfp}(\mathcal{F}) &:= \langle f(x)x^{-1} : x \in Q \leq P, f \in \text{O}^p(\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *The fusion system \mathcal{F} of B is the constrained fusion system of the finite group $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ where C_{2^r} acts as a transposition in $\text{Aut}(A_4) \cong S_4$. In particular, B has inertial index 1 and $Q := \langle x^2, y, z \rangle \cong C_{2^{r-1}} \times C_2^2$ is the only \mathcal{F} -essential subgroup of D . Moreover, $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cong S_3$. Without loss of generality, $Z(\mathcal{F}) = \langle x^2 \rangle$ and $\text{hfp}(B) = \text{foc}(B) = \text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) = \langle y, z \rangle$.*

Proof. We have seen in [20, Proposition 12.7] that \mathcal{F} is constrained and coincides with the fusion system of $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$. The construction of the semidirect product $A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ is slightly different in [20], but it is easy to see that both constructions give isomorphic groups. The remaining claims follow from the proof of [20, Proposition 12.7]. \square

By a result of Watanabe [25, Theorem 3 and Lemma 3], the hyperfocal subgroup of a 2-block is trivial or non-cyclic. Hence, our situation with a Klein-four (hyper)focal subgroup represents the first non-trivial example in some sense. Recall that a B -subsection is a pair (u, b_u) such that $u \in D$ and b_u is a Brauer correspondent of B in $C_G(u)$.

Lemma 3. *The set $\mathcal{R} := Z(D) \cup \{x^i y^j : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \text{ odd}\}$ is a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of D with $|\mathcal{R}| = 2^{r+1}$. For $u \in \mathcal{R}$ let (u, b_u) be a B -subsection. Then b_u has defect group $C_D(u)$. Moreover, $l(b_u) = 1$ whenever $u \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \langle x^2 \rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, it is easy to see that \mathcal{R} is in fact a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of D . Observe that $\langle u \rangle$ is fully \mathcal{F} -normalized for all $u \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence, by [20, Lemma 1.34], b_u has defect group $C_D(u)$ and fusion system $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$. It is easy to see that $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$ is trivial unless $u \in Z(\mathcal{F}) = \langle x^2 \rangle$. This shows $l(b_u) = 1$ for $u \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \langle x^2 \rangle$. \square

Theorem 4 ([20, Theorem 12.4]). *We have $k(B) = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$, $k_0(B) = 2^{r+1}$, $k_1(B) = 2^{r-1}$ and $l(B) = 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have $|D : \text{foc}(B)| = 2^r$. In particular, $2^r \mid k_0(B)$ by [16, Theorem 1]. Moreover, [11, Theorem 1.1] implies $2^{r+1} \leq k_0(B)$. By Lemma 3 we have $l(b_x) = 1$. Thus, we obtain $k_0(B) = 2^{r+1}$ by a result of Robinson (see [20, Theorem 4.12]). In order to determine $l(B)$, we use induction on r . Let $u := x^2$. Then b_u dominates a block \bar{b}_u of $C_G(u)/\langle u \rangle$ with defect group $\bar{D} := D/\langle u \rangle \cong D_8$ and fusion system $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F}/\langle u \rangle$. By [13, Theorem 6.3], $\langle x^2, y, z \rangle/\langle u \rangle \cong C_2^2$ is the only $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ -essential subgroup of \bar{D} . Therefore, a result of Brauer (see [20, Theorem 8.1]) shows that $l(b_u) = l(\bar{b}_u) = 2$. By Lemma 3 and [20, Theorem 1.35] it follows that

$k(B) > k_0(B)$. Since $|Z(D) : Z(D) \cap \text{foc}(B)| = 2^{r-1}$, we have $2^{r-1} \mid k_i(B)$ for $i \geq 1$ by [16, Theorem 2]. Thus, by [15, Theorem 3.4] we obtain

$$2^{r+2} \leq k_0(B) + 4(k(B) - k_0(B)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k_i(B)2^{2i} \leq |D| = 2^{r+2}.$$

This gives $k_1(B) = 2^{r-1}$ and $k(B) = k_0(B) + k_1(B) = 5 \cdot 2^{r-1}$. In case $r = 2$, [20, Theorem 1.35] implies

$$l(B) = k(B) - \sum_{1 \neq u \in \mathcal{R}} l(b_u) = 10 - 8 = 2.$$

Now let $r \geq 3$ and $1 \neq \langle u \rangle < \langle x^2 \rangle$. Then $\overline{b_u}$ as above has the same type of defect group as B except that r is smaller. Hence, induction gives $l(b_u) = l(\overline{b_u}) = 2$. Now the claim $l(B) = 2$ follows again by [20, Theorem 1.35]. \square

In the following results we denote the set of irreducible characters of B of height i by $\text{Irr}_i(B)$.

Proposition 5 ([20, Proposition 12.9]). *The set $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ contains four 2-rational characters and two families of 2-conjugate characters of size 2^i for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. The characters of height 1 split into two 2-rational characters and one family of 2-conjugate characters of size 2^i for every $i = 2, \dots, r-2$.*

Proposition 6. *There are 2-rational characters $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(B)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr}_0(B) &= \{\chi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, \lambda \in \text{Irr}(D/\text{foc}(B))\}, \\ \text{Irr}_1(B) &= \{\chi_3 * \lambda : \lambda \in \text{Irr}(Z(D)\text{foc}(B)/\text{foc}(B))\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the characters of height 1 have the same degree and $|\{\chi(1) : \chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)\}| \leq 2$.

Proof. We have already seen in the proof of Theorem 4 that the action of $D/\text{foc}(B)$ on $\text{Irr}_0(B)$ via the $*$ -construction has two orbits, and the action of $Z(D)\text{foc}(B)/\text{foc}(B)$ on $\text{Irr}_1(B)$ is regular. By Proposition 5 we can choose 2-rational representatives for these orbits. Notice that we identify the sets $\text{Irr}(D/\text{foc}(B))$ and $\text{Irr}(Z(D)\text{foc}(B)/\text{foc}(B))$ with subsets of $\text{Irr}(D)$ in an obvious manner. \square

In the situation of Proposition 6 it is conjectured that $\chi_1(1) \neq \chi_2(1)$ (see [14]).

Proposition 7 ([20, Proposition 12.8]). *The Cartan matrix of B is given by*

$$2^{r-1} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

up to basic sets.

Observe that Proposition 7 also gives the Cartan matrix for the defect group D_8 and the corresponding fusion system (this would be the case $r = 1$).

Now we are in a position to obtain the generalized decomposition matrix of B . This completes partial results in [19, Section 3.3].

Proposition 8. *Let \mathcal{R} and χ_i be as in Lemma 3 and Proposition 6 respectively. Then there are basic sets for b_u ($u \in \mathcal{R}$) and signs $\epsilon, \sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that the generalized decomposition numbers of B have the following form*

u	x^{2i}	$x^{2i}z$	x^{2i+1}	$x^{2i+1}y$
$d_{\chi_1 \varphi}^u$	(1, 0)	1	1	1
$d_{\chi_2 \varphi}^u$	(0, ϵ)	ϵ	ϵ	$-\epsilon$
$d_{\chi_3 \varphi}^u$	(σ , σ)	-2σ	0	0

Proof. Since the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/2^r})$ over \mathbb{Q} acts on the columns of the generalized decomposition matrix (cf. Proposition 5), we only need to determine the numbers $d_{\chi_i\varphi}^u$ for $u \in \{x, xy, x^{2^j}, x^{2^j}z\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, \dots, r$). First let $u = x$. Then the orthogonality relations show that

$$2^r |d_{\chi_1\varphi}^x|^2 + 2^r |d_{\chi_2\varphi}^x|^2 + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_3\varphi}^x|^2 = 2^{r+1}.$$

Since χ_1 and χ_2 have height 0, we have $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^x \neq 0 \neq d_{\chi_2\varphi}^x$ (see [20, Proposition 1.36]). It follows that $d_{\chi_i\varphi}^x = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^x = 0$, because χ_i is 2-rational. By replacing φ with $-\varphi$ if necessary (i. e. changing the basic set for b_x), we may assume that $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^x = 1$. We set $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^x =: \epsilon_0$. Similarly, we obtain $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^{xy} = 1$, $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^{xy} = \pm 1$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^{xy} = 0$. Now since the columns d^x and d^{xy} of the generalized decomposition matrix are orthogonal, we obtain $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^{xy} = -\epsilon_0$.

Now let $u := x^{2^j}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ (see proof of Theorem 4). Then by Proposition 7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2^r |d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u|^2 + 2^r |d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u|^2 + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_3\varphi_1}^u|^2 &= 3 \cdot 2^{r-1}, \\ 2^r |d_{\chi_1\varphi_2}^u|^2 + 2^r |d_{\chi_2\varphi_2}^u|^2 + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_3\varphi_2}^u|^2 &= 3 \cdot 2^{r-1}, \\ 2^r d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u \overline{d_{\chi_1\varphi_2}^u} + 2^r d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u \overline{d_{\chi_2\varphi_2}^u} + 2^{r-1} d_{\chi_3\varphi_1}^u \overline{d_{\chi_3\varphi_2}^u} &= 2^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u = 0$ and we may assume that $(d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_1\varphi_2}^u) = (1, 0)$ and $(d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_2\varphi_2}^u) = (0, \epsilon_j)$ for a sign $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. Moreover, $d_{\chi_3\varphi_1}^u = d_{\chi_3\varphi_2}^u =: \sigma_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. Now let $u := x^{2^j}z$. Then we have

$$2^r |d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u|^2 + 2^r |d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u|^2 + 2^{r-1} |d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u|^2 = 2^{r+2}.$$

It is known that $2 \mid d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u \neq 0$, since b_u is major (see [20, Proposition 1.36]). This gives $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u = 1$, $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u = \pm 1$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u = \pm 2$. By the orthogonality to $d^{x^{2^j}}$ we obtain that $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u = -2\sigma_j$ and $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u = \epsilon_j$.

It remains to show that the signs ϵ_j and σ_j do not depend on j . For this we consider characters $\lambda, \psi \in \text{Irr}(D)$ whose values are given as follows

	x^{2^j}	$x^{2^j}z$	x	xy
λ	1	1	1	-1
ψ	2	-2	0	0

Observe that ψ is the inflation of the irreducible character of $D/\langle x^2 \rangle \cong D_8$ of degree 2. It is easy to see that $(\lambda + \psi)(x^{2^k}y) = -1 = 1 - 2 = (\lambda + \psi)(x^{2^k}z)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\lambda + \psi$ is \mathcal{F} -stable, i. e. $(\lambda + \psi)(u) = (\lambda + \psi)(v)$ whenever u and v are \mathcal{F} -conjugate. By Broué-Puig [1], $\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi)$ is a generalized character of B . In particular, the scalar product $(\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G$ is an integer. This number can be computed by using the so-called contribution numbers $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u := d_{\chi_1}^u C_u^{-1} \overline{d_{\chi_3}^u}^T$ where C_u is the Cartan matrix of b_u and $d_{\chi_i}^u$ is the row of the generalized decomposition matrix corresponding to (u, b_u) and χ_i . In case $u = x^{2^j}$ we have

$$C_u^{-1} = 2^{-r-2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 7. This gives $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u = 2^{-r-1}\sigma_j$. Similarly, $m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u = -2^{-r-1}\sigma_j$ for $u = x^{2^j}z$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_1 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} (\lambda + \psi)(u) m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{Z}(D)} (\lambda + \psi)(u) m_{\chi_1\chi_3}^u \\ &= (3 + 1) \left(2^{-r-1}\sigma_r + 2^{-r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sigma_j 2^{r-j-1} \right) = 2^{-r+1}\sigma_r + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sigma_j 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_j$ for some $j \neq 1$, then it follows immediately that $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_r$ (otherwise the scalar product above is not an integer). Now suppose that $-\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_r$. In this case we replace χ_3 by the 2-rational character $\chi_3 * \tau$ where $\tau \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{Z}(D)\text{foc}(B)/\text{foc}(B))$ such that $\tau(x^2) = -1$. This changes σ_1 , but does not affect σ_j for $j > 1$.

A similar argument with the scalar product $(\chi_2 * (\lambda + \psi), \chi_3)_G$ implies that $\epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_r$. In case $\epsilon_0 = -\epsilon_1$, we replace χ_2 by $\chi_2 * \tau$ where $\tau \in \text{Irr}(D/\text{foc}(B))$ such that $\tau(x) = -1$. Observe again that this changes ϵ_0 , but keeps ϵ_j for $j > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

3 The main result

Theorem 9. *Let B and \tilde{B} be 2-blocks of (possibly different) finite groups with a common minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system. Then B and \tilde{B} are isotypic (and therefore perfectly isometric).*

Proof. We may assume that B is not nilpotent by Broué-Puig [2]. Let D be a defect group of B and \tilde{B} . If $|D| = 8$, then the claim follows from [3]. Now suppose that D is given as in (1). We will attach a tilde to everything associated with \tilde{B} . By Proposition 8 and [10, Theorem 2] there is a perfect isometry $I : \text{CF}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{CF}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{B})$ where $\text{CF}(G, B)$ denotes the space of class functions with basis $\text{Irr}(B)$ over K . It remains to show that I is also an isotypy. In order to do so, we follow [3, Section V.2]. For each $u \in D$ let $\text{CF}(C_G(u)_{2'}, b_u)$ be the space of class functions on $C_G(u)$ which vanish on the p -singular classes and are spanned by $\text{IBr}(b_u)$. The decomposition map $d_G^u : \text{CF}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_G(u)_{2'}, b_u)$ is defined by

$$d_G^u(\chi)(s) := \chi(e_{b_u}us) = \sum_{\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b_u)} d_{\chi\varphi}^u \varphi(s)$$

for $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ and $s \in C_G(u)_{2'}$ where e_{b_u} is the block idempotent of b_u over \mathcal{O} . Then I determines isometries

$$I^u : \text{CF}(C_G(u)_{2'}, b_u) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_{\tilde{G}}(u)_{2'}, \tilde{b}_u)$$

by the equation $d_{\tilde{G}}^u \circ I = I^u \circ d_G^u$. Note that I^1 is the restriction of I . We need to show that I^u can be extended to a perfect isometry $\widehat{I}^u : \text{CF}(C_G(u), b_u) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$. Suppose first that b_u is nilpotent. Then by Proposition 8, $d_G^u(\chi_1) = \epsilon\varphi$ and $d_{\tilde{G}}^u(I(\chi_1)) = \tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\varphi}$ where $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi\}$ and $\text{IBr}(\tilde{b}_u) = \{\tilde{\varphi}\}$ for some signs $\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon} \in \{\pm 1\}$. It follows that $I^u(\varphi) = \epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\varphi}$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(b_u)$ and $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b}_u)$ be 2-rational characters. Then it is well-known that $\varphi = d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi)$ and $\text{Irr}(b_u) = \{\psi * \lambda : \lambda \in \text{Irr}(D)\}$ (see [2]). Therefore, we may define \widehat{I}^u by $\widehat{I}^u(\psi * \lambda) := \epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\psi} * \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D)$. Then \widehat{I}^u is a perfect isometry and

$$\widehat{I}^u(\varphi) = \widehat{I}^u(d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi)) = d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\widehat{I}^u(\psi)) = \epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}) = \epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\varphi} = I^u(\varphi).$$

Hence, \widehat{I}^u extends I^u . Moreover, \widehat{I}^u does not depend on the generator of $\langle u \rangle$, since the signs ϵ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ were defined by means of 2-rational characters.

Assume next that b_u is non-nilpotent. Then $u \in \langle x^2 \rangle$ and b_u has defect group D . By Proposition 8, we can choose basic sets φ_1, φ_2 (resp. $\tilde{\varphi}_1, \tilde{\varphi}_2$) for b_u (resp. \tilde{b}_u) such that $\varphi_i = d_G^u(\chi_i)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_i = d_{\tilde{G}}^u(I(\chi_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $I^u(\varphi_i) = \tilde{\varphi}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since the Cartan matrix of b_u with respect to the basic set φ_1, φ_2 is already fixed (and given by Proposition 7), we find 2-rational characters $\psi_i \in \text{Irr}_0(b_u)$ such that $d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i\varphi_i$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see proof of Proposition 8). Similarly, one has $\tilde{\psi}_i \in \text{Irr}_0(\tilde{b}_u)$ such that $d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}_i) = \tilde{\epsilon}_i\tilde{\varphi}_i$. Then, by what we have already shown, there exists a perfect isometry $\widehat{I}^u : \text{CF}(C_G(u), b_u) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$ sending ψ_i to $\epsilon_i\tilde{\epsilon}_i\tilde{\psi}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We have

$$\widehat{I}^u(\varphi_i) = \epsilon_i\widehat{I}^u(d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi_i)) = \epsilon_i d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\widehat{I}^u(\psi_i)) = \tilde{\epsilon}_i d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}_i) = \tilde{\varphi}_i = I^u(\varphi_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This shows that \widehat{I}^u extends I^u . Moreover, it is easy to see that \widehat{I}^u does not depend on the generator of $\langle u \rangle$.

Altogether we have proved the theorem if D is given as in (1). By [20, Theorem 12.4] it remains to handle the case

$$D \cong \langle x, y \mid x^{2^r} = y^{2^r} = [x, y]^2 = [x, x, y] = [y, x, y] = 1 \rangle$$

where $r \geq 2$. Here B and \tilde{B} are Morita equivalent and therefore perfectly isometric. However, a Morita equivalence does not automatically provide an isotypy. Nevertheless, in this special case the Morita equivalence is a composition of various ‘‘natural’’ equivalences (namely Fong reductions, Külshammer-Puig reduction and Külshammer’s reduction for blocks with normal defect groups, see [5, proof of Theorem 1]). In particular, the generalized decomposition matrices of B and \tilde{B} coincide up to signs (see [24]). Now we can use the same methods as above in order to construct an isotypy. In fact, for every B -subsection (u, b_u) one has that b_u is nilpotent or $u = [x, y]$ and b_u Morita equivalent to B (see proof of [19, Proposition 4.3]). We omit the details. \square

Corollary 10. *Let B be a 2-block of a finite group G with minimal nonabelian defect group $D \not\cong D_8$. Then B is isotypic to a Brauer correspondent in $N_G(\text{h}\eta\text{p}(B))$.*

Proof. Let b_D be a Brauer correspondent of B in $DC_G(D)$. Since $DC_G(D) \subseteq N_G(\text{h}\eta\text{p}(B))$, the Brauer correspondent $b := b_D^{N_G(\text{h}\eta\text{p}(B))}$ of B has defect group D . By Theorem 9, it suffices to show that B and b have the same fusion system. Observe that $N_G(D, b_D) \subseteq N_G(\text{h}\eta\text{p}(B))$. In particular, B and b have the same inertial quotient. If there is only the trivial fusion system on D , then we are done (this applies if D is metacyclic of order at least 16). In case $D \cong Q_8$, B is a controlled block (see e. g. [3]). Since B and b have the same inertial quotient, it follows that these blocks also have the same fusion system. It remains to consider the two other families of defect groups (see [20, Theorem 12.4]). For one of these families the fusion system is again controlled (see [20, Proposition 12.7]). Finally, if D is given as in (1), then the fusion system is constrained and the automorphisms of the essential subgroup (if it exists) also act on $\text{h}\eta\text{p}(B)$. Hence, B is nilpotent if and only if b is nilpotent. Again the claim follows from Theorem 9. \square

We remark that Corollary 10 would be false in case $D \cong D_8$. The principal 2-block of $\text{GL}(3, 2)$ gives a counterexample. If B is a block of a finite group G with defect group as given in (1), then B is also isotypic to a Brauer correspondent in $C_G(u)$ where $u \in Z(\mathcal{F})$. This resembles Glauberman's Z^* -Theorem.

In the situation of Theorem 9 (or Corollary 10) it is desirable to extend the isotypies to Morita equivalences (as we did in [5]). This is not always possible if $|D| = 8$, since for example the principal 2-blocks of the symmetric groups S_4 and S_5 are not Morita equivalent. Nevertheless, the possible Morita equivalence classes in case $|D| = 8$ are known by Erdmann's classification of tame algebra [6] (at least over F , cf. [9]). In view of [5] one may still ask if two non-nilpotent 2-blocks with isomorphic defect groups as in Section 2 are Morita equivalent. We will see that the answer is again negative.

Consider the groups $G_1 := A_4 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ and $G_2 := A_5 \rtimes C_{2^r}$ constructed similarly as in Lemma 2. Then $G_1/Z(G_1) \cong S_4$ and $G_2/Z(G_2) \cong S_5$. Let B_i be the principal 2-block of G_i , and let \overline{B}_i be the principal 2-block of $G_i/Z(G_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the Cartan matrix of B_i is just the Cartan matrix of \overline{B}_i multiplied by $|Z(G_i)| = 2^{r-1}$. It is known that the Cartan matrices of \overline{B}_1 and \overline{B}_2 do not coincide (regardless of the labeling of the simple modules). Therefore, B_1 and B_2 are not Morita equivalent.

Nevertheless, the structure of a finite group G with a minimal nonabelian Sylow 2-subgroup P as given in (1) is fairly restricted. More precisely, Glauberman's Z^* -Theorem implies $x^2 \in Z^*(G)$, and the structure of $G/Z^*(G)$ follows from the Gorenstein-Walter Theorem [7]. In particular, G has at most one nonabelian composition factor by Feit-Thompson.

We use the opportunity to present a related result for $p = 3$ which extends [20, Theorem 8.15].

Theorem 11. *Let B and \tilde{B} be non-nilpotent blocks of (possibly different) finite groups both with defect group $C_9 \rtimes C_3$. Then B and \tilde{B} are isotypic.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 9, we will make use of [10, Theorem 2]. Let

$$D := \langle x, y \mid x^9 = y^3 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^4 \rangle$$

be a defect group of B , and let \mathcal{F} be the fusion system of B . By Stancu [21], B is controlled with inertial index 2, and we may assume that x and x^{-1} are \mathcal{F} -conjugate (see proof of [20, Theorem 8.8]). Then $\mathcal{R} := \{1, x, x^3, y, y^2, xy, xy^2\}$ is a set of representatives for the \mathcal{F} -conjugacy classes of D (see proof of [20, Theorem 8.15]). It suffices to show that the generalized decomposition numbers of B are essentially unique (up to basic sets and signs and permutations of rows). Since the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/9})$ over \mathbb{Q} acts on the columns of the generalized decomposition matrix, we only need to determine the numbers $d_{\chi_i}^u$ for $u \in \{x, x^3, y, xy\}$. By [20, Theorem 8.15] there are four 3-rational characters $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(B)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) such that χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 have height 0 and χ_4 has height 1. Since $\text{foc}(B) = \langle x \rangle$, we see that

$$\text{Irr}(B) = \{\chi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, 3, \lambda \in \text{Irr}(D/\text{foc}(B))\} \cup \{\chi_4\}.$$

Let $u := x^3$. Then $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi\}$ and $d_{\chi_i}^u$ are non-zero (rational) integers. Moreover, $d_{\chi_4}^u \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. After permuting χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 and changing the basic set for b_u if necessary, we may assume that $d_{\chi_1}^u = 2$,

$d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u =: \epsilon_1 \in \{\pm 1\}$, $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u =: \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $d_{\chi_4\varphi}^u = 3\epsilon_3 \in \{\pm 3\}$. Now let $u := x$. Then $d_{\chi_i\varphi}^u = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $d_{\chi_4\varphi}^u = 0$. We may choose a basic set for b_u such that $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u = 1$. Then by the orthogonality relations, $d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u = -\epsilon_1$ and $d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u = -\epsilon_2$. Next let $u := y$. Then b_u dominates a block of $C_G(u)/\langle u \rangle$ with cyclic defect group $C_D(u)/\langle u \rangle \cong C_3$ and inertial index 2. This yields $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ and the Cartan matrix of b_u is given by

$$3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(not only up to basic sets, but this is not important here). We can choose a basic set such that $(d_{\chi_1\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_1\varphi_2}^u) = (1, 1)$, $(d_{\chi_2\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_2\varphi_2}^u) = (\sigma_1, 0)$, $(d_{\chi_3\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_3\varphi_2}^u) = (0, \sigma_2)$ and $(d_{\chi_4\varphi_1}^u, d_{\chi_4\varphi_2}^u) = (0, 0)$ for some signs $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$. Finally for $u := xy$ we obtain $d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u = 1$, $d_{\chi_i\varphi}^u = -\sigma_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3$ and $d_{\chi_4\varphi}^u = 0$ after changing the basic set if necessary. The following table summarizes the results

u	x^3	x	y	xy
$d_{\chi_1\varphi}^u$	2	1	(1, 1)	1
$d_{\chi_2\varphi}^u$	ϵ_1	$-\epsilon_1$	$(\sigma_1, 0)$	$-\sigma_1$
$d_{\chi_3\varphi}^u$	ϵ_2	$-\epsilon_2$	$(0, \sigma_2)$	$-\sigma_2$
$d_{\chi_4\varphi}^u$	$3\epsilon_3$	0	(0, 0)	0

It suffices to show that $\epsilon_i = \sigma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ (observe that we do not need the ordinary decomposition numbers in order to apply [10, Theorem 2]). For this, let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D/\langle x^3 \rangle)$ such that $\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\lambda(y) = 1$. Then the generalized character $\psi := \lambda + \bar{\lambda} - 2 \cdot 1_D$ of D is constant on $\langle x \rangle \setminus \langle x^3 \rangle$ and thus \mathcal{F} -stable. By [1], $\chi_1 * \psi$ is a generalized character of B and $(\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_2)_G \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the proof of Theorem 9, we compute

$$(\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_2)_G = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} \psi(u) m_{\chi_1\chi_2}^u = \psi(x) m_{\chi_1\chi_2}^x + \psi(xy) m_{\chi_1\chi_2}^{xy} + \psi(xy^2) m_{\chi_1\chi_2}^{xy^2} = \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_1 + \frac{2}{3} \sigma_1.$$

This shows $\epsilon_1 = \sigma_1$. Similarly, one gets $\epsilon_2 = \sigma_2$ by computing $(\chi_1 * \psi, \chi_3)_G$. Hence, [10, Theorem 2] gives a perfect isometry $I : \text{CF}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{CF}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{B})$. In order to show that I is also an isotypy, we make use of the notation introduced in the proof of Theorem 9. Let $u \in D$ such that b_u is nilpotent. Then by the table above, we have $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\pm d_G^u(\chi_2)\}$. Thus, one can extend I^u just as in Theorem 9. Now suppose that b_u is non-nilpotent and thus $u = y$ (up to inversion). We choose a basic set φ_1, φ_2 for b_u as above such that $d_G^u(\chi_i) = \varphi_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3$. Now we have to determine the ordinary decomposition numbers of b_u with respect to φ_1, φ_2 . The defect group of b_u is $C_D(y) = \langle x^3, y \rangle \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and $\text{foc}(b_u) = \langle x^3 \rangle$. By Kiyota [12], $k(b_u) = 9$. Therefore, there are 3-rational characters $\psi_i \in \text{Irr}(b_u)$ such that

$$\text{Irr}(b_u) = \{\psi_i * \lambda : i = 1, 2, 3, \lambda \in \text{Irr}(\langle x^3, y \rangle / \langle x^3 \rangle)\}.$$

By the Cartan matrix of b_u given above (with respect to φ_1, φ_2), it follows immediately that $d_{C_G(u)}^1(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i$ with $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ after a suitable permutation of ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 . Similarly, $d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\tilde{\psi}_i) = \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\varphi}_i$. By a result of Usami [22], there is a perfect isometry $\text{CF}(C_G(u), b_u) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$. However, we need the additional information that ψ_i is mapped to $\pm \tilde{\psi}_i$. In order to show this, we use [10, Theorem 2] again. Observe that $d_{C_G(u)}^u(\psi_i) = \zeta_i d_{C_{\tilde{G}}(u)}^1(\psi_i) = \zeta_i \epsilon_i \varphi_i$ for a cube root of unity ζ_i . But since $d_{\psi_i\varphi_i}^u$ is rational, we have $\zeta_i = 1$. Now an elementary application of the orthogonality relations shows that the generalized decomposition matrix of b_u (in $C_G(u)$) is determined by

v	1	y	x^3	x^3y
$d_{\psi_1\varphi}^v$	$(\epsilon_1, 0)$	$(\epsilon_1, 0)$	ϵ_1	ϵ_1
$d_{\psi_2\varphi}^v$	$(0, \epsilon_2)$	$(0, \epsilon_2)$	ϵ_2	ϵ_2
$d_{\psi_3\varphi}^v$	(ϵ_3, ϵ_3)	(ϵ_3, ϵ_3)	$-\epsilon_3$	$-\epsilon_3$

It follows that there is a perfect isometry $\widehat{I}^u : \text{CF}(C_G(u), b_u) \rightarrow \text{CF}(C_{\tilde{G}}(u), \tilde{b}_u)$ such that $\widehat{I}^u(\psi_i) = \epsilon_i \tilde{\epsilon}_i \tilde{\psi}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore \widehat{I}^u extends I^u . As in the proof of Theorem 9, it is also clear that \widehat{I}^u is independent of the choice of the generator of $\langle u \rangle$. This finishes the proof. \square

The proof method of Theorem 11 also works for other defect groups. In fact, Watanabe [23] showed independently (using more complicated methods) that two p -blocks ($p > 2$) with a common metacyclic, minimal nonabelian defect group and the same fusion system are perfectly isometric. Again, this gives evidence for the character-theoretic version of Rouquier’s Conjecture (see [25, Theorem 2]). As another remark, Holloway-Koshitani-Kunugi [8, Example 4.3] constructed a perfect isometry between the principal 3-block of $G := \text{Aut}(\text{SL}(2, 8)) \cong {}^2G_2(3)$ and its Brauer correspondent. Since G has a Sylow 3-subgroup isomorphic to $C_9 \rtimes C_3$, this is a special case of Theorem 11. Note that in the introduction of Ruengrot [18] it is erroneously stated that these blocks are *not* perfectly isometric.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the German Research Foundation and the Daimler and Benz Foundation. The author thanks Atumi Watanabe for providing a copy of [23]. Moreover, the author thanks Burkhard Külshammer for answering some questions.

References

- [1] M. Broué and L. Puig, *Characters and local structure in G -algebras*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 306–317.
- [2] M. Broué and L. Puig, *A Frobenius theorem for blocks*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 117–128.
- [3] M. Cabanes and C. Picaronny, *Types of blocks with dihedral or quaternion defect groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **39** (1992), 141–161. Revised version: <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~cabanes/type99.pdf>.
- [4] G. Cliff, *On centers of 2-blocks of Suzuki groups*, J. Algebra **226** (2000), 74–90.
- [5] C. W. Eaton, B. Külshammer and B. Sambale, *2-Blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups II*, J. Group Theory **15** (2012), 311–321.
- [6] K. Erdmann, *Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1428, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [7] D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, *The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups. I*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 85–151.
- [8] M. Holloway, S. Koshitani and N. Kunugi, *Blocks with nonabelian defect groups which have cyclic subgroups of index p* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **94** (2010), 101–116.
- [9] T. Holm, *Notes on Donovan’s Conjecture for blocks of tame representation type*, <http://www.iazd.uni-hannover.de/~tholm/ARTIKEL/donovan.ps>.
- [10] H. Horimoto and A. Watanabe, *On a perfect isometry between principal p -blocks of finite groups with cyclic p -hyperfocal subgroups*, preprint.
- [11] R. Kessar, M. Linckelmann and G. Navarro, *A characterisation of nilpotent blocks*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), arXiv:1402.5871v1.
- [12] M. Kiyota, *On 3-blocks with an elementary abelian defect group of order 9*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **31** (1984), 33–58.
- [13] M. Linckelmann, *Introduction to fusion systems*, in: Group representation theory, 79–113, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2007. Revised version: <http://web.mat.bham.ac.uk/C.W.Parker/Fusion/fusion-intro.pdf>.
- [14] G. Malle and G. Navarro, *Blocks with equal height zero degrees*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 6647–6669.
- [15] G. R. Robinson, *On the number of characters in a block*, J. Algebra **138** (1991), 515–521.

- [16] G. R. Robinson, *On the focal defect group of a block, characters of height zero, and lower defect group multiplicities*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 2624–2628.
- [17] R. Rouquier, *Block theory via stable and Rickard equivalences*, in: Modular representation theory of finite groups (Charlottesville, VA, 1998), 101–146, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001.
- [18] P. Ruengrot, *Perfect isometry groups for blocks of finite groups*, PhD thesis, Manchester, 2011.
- [19] B. Sambale, *2-Blocks with minimal nonabelian defect groups*, J. Algebra **337** (2011), 261–284.
- [20] B. Sambale, *Blocks of finite groups and their invariants*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 2127, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2014.
- [21] R. Stancu, *Control of fusion in fusion systems*, J. Algebra Appl. **5** (2006), 817–837.
- [22] Y. Usami, *On p -blocks with abelian defect groups and inertial index 2 or 3. I*, J. Algebra **119** (1988), 123–146.
- [23] A. Watanabe, *On blocks of finite groups with metacyclic, minimal non-abelian defect groups*, manuscript.
- [24] A. Watanabe, *On generalized decomposition numbers and Fong’s reductions*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 393–400.
- [25] A. Watanabe, *The number of irreducible Brauer characters in a p -block of a finite group with cyclic hyperfocal subgroup*, J. Algebra **416** (2014), 167–183.